



Ontolog Project Report

November 5, 2003

What is an Formal Ontology?

- ▾ **An ontology is a shared conceptualization of a domain**
- ▾ **An ontology is a set of definitions in a formal language for terms describing the world.**
- ▾ **Different ontologies may differ in terms of their level of formalization**

Origins of the Ontolog Forum

- ▼ **March 2002: Peter Yim and the UBL LCSC**
 - ▼ Majority of interest in learning about ontologies
 - ▼ Timetables and deadlines limited attention
- ▼ **September 2002: Reconstituted Ontolog Forum**
 - ▼ Open community
 - ▼ Charter
 - ▼ Discuss practical issues and strategies associated with the development of both formal and informal ontologies used in business
 - ▼ Identify ontological engineering approaches that might be applied to the UBL effort

Ontolog Membership

- ▼ **> 70 subscribers, in 10 countries**
- ▼ **Overlap with UBL committee**
 - ▼ Bill Burcham
 - ▼ Sally Chan
 - ▼ Eduardo Gutentag
 - ▼ Monica Martin
 - ▼ Tim McGrath
 - ▼ Bill Meadows
 - ▼ Sue Probert
 - ▼ Marion Royal
 - ▼ Peter Yim
- ▼ **Join us! (As either observers or active members)**

Ontolog Logistics

- ▼ **Infrastructure provided by CIM3.net**
- ▼ **Archived mailing list**
- ▼ **Shared, web-accessable work space**
- ▼ **Community Wiki**
- ▼ **Real-time screen and application sharing**
- ▼ **Weekly phone meetings (Thursday, 10:30 Pacific)**

UBL-Ontology Project

- ▼ **Mission: Create a formal ontology based on the UBL schemas**
- ▼ **Aligns with general Ontolog community objectives**
 - ▼ Learn about ontologies (concepts, language, best practices)
 - ▼ Identify lifecycle process for developing ontology-based systems
 - ▼ Increase awareness and understanding of ontology tools
 - ▼ Work with a group of people on a common ontology
 - ▼ Apply ontologies to real-world applications, especially eBusiness
- ▼ **Participation: ~ 23 individuals (~ 10 active participants)**

Expected Relationship to UBL

- ▼ **UBL schemas are starting point for formalization**
- ▼ **Resulting ontology expected to**
 - ▼ Extend and formalize UBL English definitions
 - ▼ Formalize relationship semantics (hierarchical and non-hierarchical)
- ▼ **Ontolog team may provide “early warnings” to UBL teams (e.g., Context Methodology, or when get stuck)**
- ▼ **Input to UBL biased towards “actionable feedback”**
- ▼ **Anticipates an accurate modeling of the UBL domain that could result in some level of validation, acceptance, approval, or adoption by the UBL committee.**

Initial Technical Goals

- ▾ **Leverage as much of the UBL committee's work as possible (don't reinvent the wheel)**
- ▾ **Leverage open processes, technologies, content, and philosophy**
- ▾ **Map to multiple upper ontologies (currently de-emphasized)**
- ▾ **Demonstrate multiple tools and methodologies (currently de-emphasized)**
- ▾ **Implement a real-life, public-domain application in parallel with the development of the ontology**

Project Management Strategy

- ▼ **Demonstration project**
- ▼ **Heavily based on consensus (very voluntary)**
- ▼ **Iterative project management model**
 - ▼ Settled key technology and methodology questions ahead of full requirements
 - ▼ Some issues with alignment and shared understanding (project goals, drivers, and constraints)

High-Level Methodology

- ▼ **Determine the domain and scope of the ontology**
- ▼ **Consider reusing existing ontologies**
- ▼ **Enumerate important terms in the ontology**
- ▼ **Define the classes and class hierarchy**
- ▼ **Define the properties of the classes**
- ▼ **Define the additional properties related to or necessary for classes (i.e., cardinality, bidirectionality/inverse, etc.)**
- ▼ **Create instances**
- ▼ **Create axioms/rules**

Technology Selection

- ▼ **Base ontology: Suggested Upper Merged Ontology (SUMO)**
- ▼ **Normative Representation Language: Knowledge Interchange Format (SUO-KIF)**
- ▼ **Other derivative representations will be considered**
 - ▼ OWL, SQL, XML, “Protege”, etc.
- ▼ **Tools**
 - ▼ Text editors
 - ▼ Adam Pease’s SIGMA knowledge engineering environment
 - ▼ Sevchenko’s SUO-KIF ontology browser
 - ▼ Protege

Determine Domain and Scope

Ongoing

- ▼ **Initial doctype targets: Purchase Order, Invoice, Shipping Documents**
- ▼ **Use case articulation started in May**
 - ▼ Automated reconcillation of Purchase Orders and Invoices
 - ▼ Identifying proper structure of an address from context
 - ▼ Mapping between different standards and representation languages
- ▼ **Primary workshop objective: Identify detailed utilization scenarios that can drive modeling**

Considering Ontology Reuse

Complete

- ▼ **Base ontology: Suggested Upper Merged Ontology (SUMO)**
 - ▼ Open
 - ▼ Rich representational language (KIF)
- ▼ **Implications**
 - ▼ Limited tools support
 - ▼ Can't be used natively in Protege (different levels of richness)
 - ▼ Raging "Protege vs KIF" debate in August/September
 - ▼ Protege well-developed and simple but not as expressive as KIF
 - ▼ Modeling process cannot rely on Protege unless bidirectionality can be demonstrated
 - ▼ **Elevated training & knowledge transfer requirements**

Enumerate Important Terms

Commencing

- ▼ **Some initial, exploratory modeling of UBL terms in July timeframe**
- ▼ **Hampered by**
 - ▼ Limited understanding of UBL
 - ▼ Lack of modeling principles (What's the best/agreed upon way to model a given concept?)
- ▼ **Primary Workshop Objectives:**
 - ▼ Understand UBL modeling philosophy
 - ▼ Understand / agree upon definition construction rules
 - ▼ Increase familiarity with UBL deliverables
 - ▼ Focus on behavioral specifications ("use cases")

Define Classes and Hierarchy

Commencing

- ▼ **Adam Pease conducted an Ontological Engineering Tutorial in May**
 - ▼ Similar to this evening's tutorial
- ▼ **Primary Workshop Objectives**
 - ▼ Establish and demonstrate “fine-grained” methodology for translating “UBL terms” into KIF expressions
 - ▼ Establish an approach for dealing with the relationship between real world entities and their XML analogs

Feedback and Guidance

- ▾ **Does this make sense (why / why not)?**
- ▾ **Could UBL be used differently with additional semantic formalization?**
- ▾ **What (additional) semantic properties should be modeled?**
- ▾ **How is UBL expected to evolve in the future?**
- ▾ **Are there any existing / expected gaps or issues with UBL?**
- ▾ **When should the Ontolog team look beyond UBL (e.g., to Core Components) to support semantic formalization?**