The Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

Till Mossakowski¹ Christoph Lange² Oliver Kutz¹ Mihai Codescu¹



¹University of Magdeburg

²University of Bonn

OntolOp teleconference, 2014-01-29

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 1

Motivation

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL) 2

2014-01-29 2

The Big Picture of Interoperability

Modeling	Specification	Knowledge engineering
Objects/data	Software	Concepts/data
Models	Specifications	Ontologies
Metamodels	Specification languages	Ontology languages

Diversity and the need for interoperability occur at all these levels! (Formal) ontologies, (formal) specifications and (formal) models will henceforth be abbreviated as OSMs.

3

Ontology use Case: OMG's Date-Time Vocabulary

- date-time vocabulary is formulated in different languages: SBVR, Common Logic, IKL, UML+OCL, OWL
- different languages address different audiences
 - SBVR: business users
 - UML+OCL: software implementors
 - OWL: ontology developers and users
 - Common Logic, IKL: (foundational) ontology developers and users
- How can we
 - formally relate the different logical specifications?
 - specify the OWL version to be an approximation of the Common Logic version?
 - extract submodules covering specific aspects?

Use Case: Refinement of specifications

- refinement from requirements to design to code
- many different formalisms
- formalism may change during formal development
- yet, some general mechanism of refinements are always the same

Use Case: Consistency and satisfiability among UML models

- does an object diagram satisfy a class diagram?
- Does a state machine satisfy an OCL specification?
- Do the protocal state machines at the ends of a connector fit together?
- Does a state machine refine the protocol state machines in a structure diagram?

Ontologies: An Initial Logic Graph



2014-01-29 7

Specifications: An Initial Logic Graph



8

UML models: An Initial Logic Graph



9

Motivation: Diversity of Operations on and Relations among OSMs

Various operations and relations on OSMs are in use:

- structuring: union, translation, hiding,
- refinement
- matching and alignment
 - of many OSMs covering one domain
- module extraction
 - get relevant information out of large OSM
- approximation
 - model in an expressive language, reason fast in a lightweight one
- ontology-based database access/data management
- distributed OSMs
 - bridges between different modellings

OntolOp

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 11

Need for a Unifying Meta Language

Not yet another OSM language, but a meta language covering

- diversity of OSM languages
- translations between these
- diversity of operations on and relations among OSMs

Current standards like the OWL API or the aligment API only cover parts of this



The OntolOp initiative

- started in 2011 as ISO 17347 within ISO/TC 37/SC 3
- now continued as OMG standard
 - OMG has more experience with formal semantics
 - OMG documents will be freely available
 - focus extended from ontologies only to formal models and specifications (i.e. logical theories)
 - request for proposals (RFP) has been issued in December 2013
 - proposals answering RFP due in December 2014
- ullet 50 experts participate, \sim 15 have contributed
- OntolOp is open for your ideas, so join us!

Requirements in the OMG RFP OntolOp

- provide a meta-language for:
 - logically heterogeneous OSMs
 - modular OSMs
 - module extraction, approximation
 - links (interpretations, alignments) between OSMs/modules
 - combination of OSMs along links
- provide an abstract syntax as MOF or SMOF model
- provide a concrete syntax
- provide a formal semantics
 - criteria for logics to conform with OntolOp
 - translations between these logics
- be logic-agnostic, e.g. OSMs consist of symbols and axioms

DOL

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 15

The Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

- has been prepared within ISO/TC 37/SC 3
- now continued as a proposal for the OMG RFP OntolOp
 - DOL = one specific answer to the RFP requirements
 - there may be other answers to the RFP (but unlikely)
- DOL is based on some graph of institutions and (co)morphisms
- DOL has a model-level and a theory-level semantics

Related work

- Structured specifications and their semantics (Clear, ASL, CASL, ...)
- Heterogeneous specification (HetCASL)
- modular ontologies (WoMo workshop series)

Overview of DOL

modular and heterogeneous OSMs

- basic OSMs (flattenable)
- references to named OSMs
- extensions, unions, translations (flattenable)
- reductions (elusive)
- approximations, module extractions (flattenable)
- minimization, maximization (elusive)
- combination, OSM bridges (flattenable)
- only OSMs with flattenable components are flattenable
- OSM declarations and relations (based on 1)
 - OSM definitions (giving a name to an OSM)
 - interpretations (of theories)
 - equivalences
 - module relations
 - alignments

Modular and Heterogeneous OSMs

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 19

Basic OSMs

- written in some OSM language from the logic graph
- semantics is inherited from the OSM language
- e.g. in OWL:

Class: Woman EquivalentTo: Person and Female
ObjectProperty: hasParent

• e.g. in Common Logic:

Extensions

- O_1 then O_2 : extension of O_1 by new symbols and axioms O_2
- O_1 then %mcons O_2 : model-conservative extension
 - each O_1 -model has an expansion to O_1 then O_2
- O_1 then %ccons O_2 : consequence-conservative extension
 - O_1 then $O_2 \models \varphi$ implies $O_1 \models \varphi$, for φ in the language of O_1
- O_1 then %def O_2 : definitional extension
 - each O_1 -model has a unique expansion to O_1 then O_2
- O₁ then %implies O₂: like %mcons, but O₂ must not extend the signature
- example in OWL:

Class Person **Class** Female

then %def

Class: Woman EquivalentTo: Person and Female

References to Named OSMs

- Reference to an OSM existing on the Web
- written directly as a URL (or IRI)
- Prefixing may be used for abbreviation

http://owl.cs.manchester.ac.uk/co-ode-files/
ontologies/pizza.owl

co-ode:pizza.owl

Unions

- O₁ and O₂: union of two stand-alone OSMs (for extensions O₂ needs to be basic)
- Signatures (and axioms) are united
- model classes are intersected

algebra:Monoid and algebra:Commutative

Translations

- O with σ , where σ is a signature morphism
- O with translation ρ , where ρ is an institution comorphism

ObjectProperty: isProperPartOf Characteristics: Asymmetric SubPropertyOf: isPartOf with translation trans:SROIQtoCL then

(if (and (isProperPartOf x y) (isProperPartOf y z)) (isProperPartOf x z))

%% transitivity; can't be expressed in OWL together
%% with asymmetry

Reductions

- intuition: some logical or non-logical symbols are hidden, but the semantic effect of sentences (also those involving these symbols) is kept
- O reveal Σ , where Σ is a subsignature of that of O
- O hide Σ , where Σ is a subsignature of that of O
- O hide along μ , where μ is an institution morphism

hide inv

Interpolation

- O keep in Σ , where Σ is a subsignature of that of O
- O keep in Σ with I, where Σ is a subsignature of that of O, and I is a subinstitution of that of O
 - intuition: theory of O is interpolated in smaller signature/logic
- dually
 - O forget Σ
 - O forget Σ with /

forget inv

Module Extractions

- O extract $c \Sigma$ with m
- Σ : restriction signature (subsignature of that of O)
- c: one of %mcons and %ccons
- *m*: module extraction method

O must be a conservative extension of the resulting extracted module.

```
co-ode:Pizza extract %mcons
Class: VegetarianPizza
Class: VegetableTopping
ObjectProperty: hasTopping
with locality
```

• Dually: O remove $c \Sigma$ with m

Extract – Forget – Hide

	remove/extract	forget/keep	hide/reveal
semantic	conservative	uniform	model
background	extension	interpolation	reduct
relation to original	subtheory	interpretable	interpretable
approach	theory level	theory level	model level
type of ontology	flattenable	flattenable	elusive
signature of result	$\geq \Sigma$	$=\Sigma$	$=\Sigma$
change of logic	not possible	possible	possible

Minimizations (circumscription)

```
• O_1 then minimize { O_2 }
 • forces minimal interpretation of non-logical symbols in O_2
  Class: Block
  Individual: B1 Types: Block
  Individual: B2 Types: Block DifferentFrom: B1
then minimize {
        Class: Abnormal
        Individual: B1 Types: Abnormal }
then
  Class: Ontable
  Class: BlockNotAbnormal EquivalentTo:
    Block and not Abnormal SubClassOf: Ontable
then %implied
  Individual: B2 Types: Ontable
```

Freeness

• O_1 then free { O_2 }

• forces initial interpretation of non-logical symbols in O_2

```
sort Elem
then free {
    sort Bag
    ops mt:Bag;
    __union__:Bag*Bag->Bag, assoc, comm, unit mt
    }
```

Cofreeness

• O_1 then cofree { O_2 }

• forces final interpretation of non-logical symbols in O_2

```
sort Elem
then cofree {
    sort Stream
    ops head:Stream->Elem;
    tail:Stream->Stream
    }
```

OSM declarations and relations

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 32

OSM definitions

- **OSM** IRI = O end
- assigns name IRI to OSM O, for later reference

ontology co-code:Pizza = Class: VegetarianPizza Class: VegetableTopping ObjectProperty: hasTopping ...

end

Interpretations

- interpretation Id : O_1 to $O_2 = \sigma$
- $\bullet~\sigma$ is a signature morphism or a logic translation
- expresses that O_2 logically implies $\sigma(O_1)$

interpretation i : TotalOrder to Nat = Elem |-> Nat interpretation geometry_of_time %mcons :

- %% Interpretation of linearly ordered time intervals. int:owltime_le
- %% ... that begin and end with an instant as lines %% that are incident with linearly ...

to { ord:linear_ordering and bi:complete_graphical

% ... ordered points in a special geometry, ...

and int:mappings/owltime_interval_reduction }

= ProperInterval |-> Interval end

Equivalences

- equivalence $Id : O_1 \leftrightarrow O_2 = O_3$
- (fragment) OSM O_3 is such that O_i then %def O_3 is a definitional extension of O_i for i = 1, 2;
- this implies that O_1 and O_2 have model classes that are in bijective correspondence

$$\begin{array}{l} x \wedge y \ = \ x \cdot y \\ x \vee y \ = \ x + y + x \cdot y \\ \neg x \ = \ 1 + x \\ x \cdot y \ = \ x \wedge y \\ x + y \ = \ (x \vee y) \ \land \ \neg (x \wedge y) \end{array}$$

Module Relations

• module $Id \ c : O_1 \ of \ O_2 \ for \ \Sigma$

• O_1 is a module of O_2 with restriction signature Σ and conservativity c

c=%mcons every Σ -reduct of an O_1 -model can be expanded to an O_2 -model

 $\begin{array}{l} c = \% \text{ccons} \text{ every } \Sigma \text{-sentence } \varphi \text{ following from } O_1 \text{ already} \\ \text{ follows from } O_1 \end{array}$

This relation shall hold for any module O_1 extracted from O_2 using the extract construct.

Alignments

- alignment *Id* card₁ card₂ : O_1 to $O_2 = c_1, \ldots, c_n$
- card; is (optionally) one of 1, ?, +, *
- the c_i are correspondences of form sym_1 rel conf sym_2
 - sym; is a symbol from O;
 - rel is one of >, <, =, %, $\ni,$ $\in,$ $\mapsto,$ or an Id
 - conf is an (optional) confidence value between 0 and 1

Syntax of alignments follows the alignment API http://alignapi.gforge.inria.fr

alignment Alignment1 : { Class: Woman } to { Class: Person } =
 Woman < Person
 ord</pre>

end

Alignment: Another Example

ontology Ontol = **Class:** Person Class: Woman SubClassOf: Person Class: Bank end ontology Onto2 = **Class:** HumanBeing Class: Woman SubClassOf: HumanBeing **Class**: Bank end alignment VAlignment : Onto1 to Onto2 = Person = HumanBeing, Woman = Woman end

Combinations

- combine $O_1, \ldots, O_n L_1, \ldots, L_m$
- L_j are links (interpretations, alignments) between OSMs
- The individual OSMs can be prefixed with labels, like n: O
- semantics is a colimit

```
ontology AlignedOntology1 =
   combine Alignment1
```

ontology VAlignedOntology =

combine 1 : Onto1, 2 : Onto2, VAlignment
%% 1:Person is identified with 2:HumanBeing
%% 1:Woman is identified with 2:Woman
%% 1:Bank and 2:Bank are kept distinct

ontology VAlignedOntologyRenamed = VAlignedOntology with 1:Bank |-> RiverBank, 2:Bank |-> FinancialBank, Person_HumanBeing |-> Person

Diagram for First Alignment



Colimit for First Alignment



Diagram for Second Alignment

Onto2 Onto1 {*Woman*, *Person HumanBeing*}

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 42

Colimit for Second Alignment



Construction of Diagrams



- $O_1 O_2$ contains, for each $s_1 = s_2$ in A, a symbol $s_1 s_2$
- O'_1 and O'_2 contain the symbols of O_1 and O_2 , respectively, which appear in A in a correspondence $s_1 R s_2$ such that R is not equivalence and B is an OSM constructed
- the signature morphisms σ₁ and σ₂ map each symbol s₁_s₂ to s₁ and respectively s₂.

OSM Bridges

- O_1 bridge with translation $t O_2$
- t is a logic translation
- semantics: O₁ with translation t then O₂
- t will e.g. translate OWL to some DDL or \mathcal{E} -connections
- O_2 : axioms involving the relations (introduced by t) between OSMs in O_1 .

OSM Bridge Example

```
ontology Publications1 =
  Class: Publication
  Class: Article SubClassOf: Publication
  Class: InBook SubClassOf: Publication
  Class: Thesis SubClassOf: Publication
  . . .
ontology Publications2 =
  Class: Thing
  Class: Article SubClassOf: Thing
  Class: BookArticle SubClassOf: Thing
  Class: Publication SubClassOf: Thing
  Class: Thesis SubClassOf: Thing
```

OSM Bridge Example, cont'd

ontology Publications_Combined = combine

- 1 : Publications1 with translation OWL2MS-OWL,
- 2 : Publications2 with translation OWL2MS-OWL
- %% implicitly: Article \mapsto 1:Article ...

Article
$$\mapsto$$
 2:Article \dots

- bridge with translation MS-OWL2DDL
 - %% implicitly added my translation MS-OWL2DDL: binary
 - 1:Publication $\xrightarrow{\sqsubseteq}$ 2:Publication
 - 1:PhdThesis $\xrightarrow{\sqsubseteq}$ 2:Thesis
 - 1:InBook $\xrightarrow{\sqsubseteq}$ 2:BookArticle
 - 1:Article $\xrightarrow{\sqsubseteq}$ 2:Article
 - 1:Article $\xrightarrow{\supseteq}$ 2:Article

%%

Qualifications

Qualifications choose the logic, OSM language and/or serialization:

- language /
- logic /
- serialization s

This affects the subsequent declarations and relations in the distributed OSM.

Conclusion

Mossakowski

Distributed Ontology, Modeling and Specification Language (DOL)

2014-01-29 49

Challenges

- What is a suitable abstract meta framework for non-monotonic logics and rule languages like RIF and RuleML? Are institutions suitable here? different from those for OWL?
- What is a useful abstract notion of query (language) and answer substitution?
- How to integrate TBox-like and ABox-like OSMs?
- Can the notions of class hierarchy and of satisfiability of a class be generalised from OWL to other languages?
- How to interpret alignment correspondences with confidence other that 1 in a combination?
- Can logical frameworks be used for the specification of OSM languages and translations?
- Proof support

Tool support: Heterogeneous Tool Set (Hets)

- available at hets.dfki.de
- speaks DOL, HetCASL, CoCASL, CspCASL, MOF, QVT, OWL, Common Logic, and other languages
- analysis
- computation of colimits
- management of proof obligations
- interfaces to theorem provers, model checkers, model finders

Tool support: Ontohub web portal and repository

Ontohub is a web-based repository engine for distributed heterogeneous (multi-language) OSMs

- prototype available at ontohub.org
- speaks DOL, OWL, Common Logic, and other languages
- mid-term goal: follow the Open Ontology Repository Initiative (OOR) architecture and API
- API is discussed at https://github.com/ontohub/00R_0ntohub_API
- annual Ontology summit as a venue for review, and discussion

Conclusion

- DOL is a meta language for (formal) ontologies, specifications and models (OSMs)
- DOL covers many aspects of modularity of and relations among OSMs ("OSM-in-the large")
- DOL will be submitted to the OMG as an answer to the OntolOp RFP
- you can help with joining the OntolOp discussion
 - see ontoiop.org