

Training the Ontologists of the Future

Mike Bennett Ontology Summit 2010 Panel Contribution 4 Feb 2010

1

- EDM Council Semantics Repository experience
- What do ontologists need to know?
- What prior knowledge should they bring to this (pre-requisites)?
- What or who should they be (aptitude)



What are we Training for?

- Practical example: EDM Council Semantics Repository
 - What did I need to know?
 - What did I already know that helped?
 - What could I have done with knowing more about?
- How does this generalize to ontology training?



What do we Need to Know?

- Ontology as Engineering
- Business facing not technology facing
- Familiar with technology management
- Familiar with ontology theory itself:
 - First order Logic
 - Semantics
 - Model theory etc .
- Familiar with the available tools, standards and notations
- Aptitude for thinking in meanings not words



Engineering Discipline

- An ontology provides a business view of terms that may be used in applications
- This is true whether the ontology is used in:
 - Ontology-based knowledge base application (OWL, SPARQL etc.)
 - Model driven development for databases and messaging
- Either way, the business view should be
 - independent of technology implementation
 - Understood by Business
- This is an engineering principle
 - Segregation of views gives business stakeholders control of data content
 - Ontology becomes primary point of control of business terms



Business Knowledge

- Two types of business knowledge needed:
 - The business of technology development
 - The business of the application domain
- Tech Development:
 - Requirements Management theory: rules for "Conceptual" model apply to ontology
 - Technology neutral, owned by business, implementable, understandable and formally controlled
- Application Domain
 - I am still learning things about securities which are "obvious" to business folks but not reflected in existing data standards
 - i.e. undocumented knowledge
 - Retain a level of intelligent ignorance:
 - The owner of business knowledge is the business SME not the ontologist;
 - use the ontology as a draft view of the domain, which is completed in active review with SMEs
- Not be a geek!

Not being a geek:

- Example:
 - imported the ISO Logical Data Model for securities into OWL to see what we got
 - What we got was a Logical Data Model in OWL
 - Format does not determine content
- Anyone who would mistake the form for the content should not be an ontologist
 - Similarly those who focus on tools rather than principles will put the project at risk of misapplication of ontology
 - Know the tools, but know what's needed first
- Ontologist should think in principles not tools



Theory of Meaning

- Every ontology has a theory of meaning
- Ontologist must be able to understand and articulate this
 - Again the tool doesn't do it for you
 - Should be able to explain the theory of meaning, in layman's terms, to the business SMEs who are expected to review and validate the ontology
 - Responsible for the consistent application of this through the project lifecycle
- Be able to specify and think in first order logic, set theory etc. ahead of implementing in a given format or toolset
- Then keep up to date with tools and standards



Standards for Content

- Be able to relate to industry standards in a given application domain
- Recognize and reuse applicable semantics from existing standards
 - Understand which standards apply and what the level of meaning is in those
 - At this early stage, many standards are specified only at a logical level but have content which is valuable to an ontology effort
 - Need to be able to reverse engineer into semantics
- Ontologist should not want to impress clients with their domain knowledge, but humbly use what's already in place in a given industry

9

Lessons Learnt

- Business Analysis:
 - In the EDM Council Semantics Repository, it was a challenge to present material to SMEs in a format that they could easily take in
 - One review team's simplicity becomes too complex for the next group to take in
 - Ontologist requirement: Be able to negotiate between business experts, tech architects and modelers
- Tools are still not quite business friendly enough in our business so we had to make up an interface for presentation of material
 - There is still considerable room for improvement in that interface
 - We knew what we needed independently of finding a tool for it
- Final review: Had to identify mechanisms for formal QA
 - Hard to get business SMEs to focus on detailed terms for a second time, as is required for formal sign-off of changes.
 - Ontologist Requirement: Understand the basic precepts of Quality Assurance, i.e. "Demonstrate control" and be able to adapt this to changing project requirements.



Final Thoughts

The art of Ontology is the art of not designing something

- if you find yourself coming up with an elegant design within your ontology, ask yourself where is the independent business definition of the terms this design is supposed to implement?
- Approach ontology as an engineering discipline not a technical exercise



Contact Details

- Mike Bennett, Head of Semantics and Standards, EDM Council Inc.
- (o) +44 20 7917 9522 (m) +44 7721 420 730
 mbennett@edmcouncil.org
- web: www.edmcouncil.org
- Semantics Repository: www.hypercube.co.uk/edmcouncil

