

The Financial Industry Business Ontology



Ontology Summit 2013:

Ontology Evaluation Across the Ontology Lifecycle

David Newman

Strategic Planning Manager, Senior Vice President, Enterprise Architecture Chair, Semantic Technology Program, EDM Council









Wells Fargo Disclaimer

 The content in this presentation represents only the views of the presenter and does not represent or imply acknowledged adoption by Wells Fargo Bank. Examples used within are purely hypothetical and are used for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to reflect Wells Fargo policy or intellectual property.

2008 Global Financial Crisis Stimulated Need for Improved Financial Data Standards



- Financial industry needs better data standards for:
 - identification of legal entities, their jurisdictions and ownership control hierarchies
 - Identification of financial contracts and instruments
 - classification and data linkage for aggregation
 - actionable risk intelligence

The Basel Committee Banking Supervision "One of the most significant lessons learned from the global financial crisis that began in 2007 was that banks' information technology (IT) and data architectures were inadequate to support the broad management of financial risks. Many banks lacked the ability to aggregate risk exposures and concentrations quickly and accurately at the bank group level, across business lines and between legal entities. "

<u>Principles for effective risk data aggregation and risk reporting</u> Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, June 2012

Regulatory Data Challenges

- Per FSB and Basel, global SIFIs must comply with risk data aggregation requirements by early 2016.
 - ✓ A bank should establish integrated data taxonomies across the banking group, which includes information on the characteristics of the data (metadata)
 - ✓ Risk data must be complete and captured/aggregated across the enterprise
 - ✓ Risk data must be accurate and the firm must be able to reconcile/validate reports



Business Data Challenges





Current State of Business Data

- Data incongruity and fragmentation often found across silos
- Limited data standards
- Data rationalization problems
- Costly application program logic required to process data into concepts
- Brittle schemas are costly to change
- Rigid and limited taxonomies

Desired State of Business Data

- Data linkage and integration *despite* silos
- Open global *reusable* data standards
- Alignment based on *meaning*
- Highly expressive data schemas with built in *rules* that reflect *concepts*
- Flexible changeable schemas
- Rich multi-level taxonomies

How Should These Data Challenges Be Resolved?

- ✓ How should financial data standards be defined?
- ✓ How should the financial industry tackle these risk data management, aggregation and reporting challenges?
- ✓ What technologies should be employed to fulfill these requirements?

Semantic Web Technology can be Used to Resolve These Data Challenges

The Enterprise Data Management (EDM) Council and the Object Management Group (OMG) believe that semantic web technology

- is a *transformational* technology for defining financial data standards
- can map to and supplement existing legacy financial data standards
- is a prudent investment to better enable risk data aggregation and analytics
- can be implemented unobtrusively and incrementally with legacy data



FIBO: An Emerging Open Financial Industry Data Standard

Collaborative industry initiative to describe financial data standards using semantics



Open semantic financial data standards are exchangeable across financial institutions and regulatory authorities for data confidence, consistency and transparency

Multiple Financial Institutions are Contributing to the FIBO Standard

WELLS FARGO ✓ Wells Fargo chairs the EDM Council's Semantic Technology Program, interfaces directly with regulatory authorities and leads the working group that is responsible for constructing the operational capabilities of FIBO

 \checkmark Institutions providing business and/or technical resources to define and develop FIBO



Regulatory Agencies Interested in FIBO



has expressed strong interest in FIBO's instrument taxonomy and data definitions for swap rules

CFTC





have expressed interest in FIBO's taxonomy and data definitions for liquidity, stress test reporting, and living will

✓ Other regulatory agencies expressing direct interest in semantic financial data standards via FIBO



FIBO Business Conceptual and Operational Ontologies are Two Sides of the Same Coin

FIBO Business Conceptual Ontologies

- Human facing
- Visual blueprint
- Standard terms and definitions for business concepts
- Broad based expressions of conceptual specifications, provenance, linkage and context of business constructs



FIBO Operational Ontologies

- Machine facing (OWL)
- Derived from FIBO Conceptual Ontologies
- Optimized for performance and scalability. Fewer abstractions. Inferred relations, mappings.
- Classification, data linkage, validation and semantic query.
- Deliver executable functionality to regulators and firms to enable data linkage, transparency and risk analytics

FIBO Conceptual Ontology



Target Operational Capabilities of FIBO



Semantic Processing *Reasons* over Data to Infer New Meanings and Relationships



Legal Entity Ownership and Control Relationships can be Queried and Displayed



FIBO Identifies Instrument Contractual Terms and Attributes: Signature of a CDS



FIBO Identifies Ultimate Parents, their Descendents and Trading Counterparties

This capability allows for the rollup of both positions and exposures of the subsidiaries to the level of the ultimate parent for risk analysis

Enter a SPARQL select or describe query in the text widget to the left, then press the Do Query button. All known namespace abbreviations will be in effect. Or

Production Product Street Bank	urrency <u>÷</u>
AcmeInvestmentsCompany WallStreetBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Corporate Swap_Contract-SC123 3000000.00 USD	<u>^</u>
AcmeInvestmentsCompany GoodBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:OIS Swap_Contract-SC09 1000000.00 USD	
CaliforniaBank LondonBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Corporate Swap_Contract-SC143 1600000.00 USD	
CaliforniaBank AtlasBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:Basis Swap_Contract-SC01 2000000.00 USD	
CaliforniaBank AtlasBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:Basis Swap_Contract-SC03 2500000.00 EUR	
CaliforniaBank LondonBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:FixedFloat Swap_Contract-SC06 1000000.00 USD	
CaliforniaBank Traderlnc AtlasBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:Inflation Swap_Contract-SC07 300000.00 USD	Ξ
GlobalBank WallStreetBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Muni Swap_Contract-SC119 1900000.00 USD	
GlobalBank BigBank WallStreetBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Corporate Swap_Contract-SC130 300000.00 USD	
GlobalBank BigBank WallStreetBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Muni Swap_Contract-SC122 6525000.00 USD	
GlobalBank LondonBank WallStreetBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Corporate Swap_Contract-SC121 1525000.00 USD	
GlobalBank LondonBank CaliforniaBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Corporate Swap_Contract-SC143 1600000.00 USD	
GlobalBank LondonBank AtlasBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Muni Swap_Contract-SC118 1450000.00 USD	
GlobalBank LondonBank GoodBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:CrossCurrency:Basis Swap_Contract-SC04 300000.00 EUR	
GlobalBank LondonBank GoodBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:CrossCurrency:FixedFloat Swap_Contract-SC02 2500000.00 EUR	
GlobalBank LondonBank CaliforniaBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:FixedFloat Swap_Contract-SC06 1000000.00 USD	
GlobalBank SecuritiesInc WallStreetBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:FixedFloat Swap_Contract-SC08 200000.00 USD	
GlobalBank TrustedBank WallStreetBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:CrossCurrency:FixedFixed Swap_Contract-SC05 1500000.00 EUR	
NationalBank WallStreetBank Interest_Rate_Swap_Contract InterestRate:IRSwap:FixedFloat Swap_Contract-SC10 4000000.00 USD	
WallStreetBank LondonBank Credit Default Swap Contract Credit:SingleName:Corporate Swap_Contract-SC121 1525000.00 USD	~

FIBO Can Play a Useful Role in Risk Intelligence



Visualization of Ownership Hierarchies and Exposures to Counterparties



Proposed FIBO Architecture for Institutional and Macroprudential Oversight



FIBO Operational Ontologies are Highly Modular and Reusable



FIBO Defines Multi-faceted Poly-hierarchical Classifications of Swap Contracts



FIBO Uses OWL 2 DL to Describe Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Contracts

Class hierarchy Class hierarchy (inferred)	Description: cds:Single_Name_Credit_Default_Swap_Contract
Class hierarchy: cds:Single_Name_Credit_Default_Swap_Contract	Funivalant To
	education of the second
	and (contracts) has Swap Leg some
	(cds:Contingent Leg
contracts: Options Contract	and ((cds:has Reference Entity some legal-pers:Municipality)
Contracts: Rate Based Derivatives Contract	or (cds:has_Reference_Entity some legal-pers:Sovereign)
Contracts:Swap_Contract	or (cds:has_Reference_Entity some corp:BodyCorporate))))
v-@cds:Credit Default Swap Contract	
ecds:Index_Credit_Default_Swap_Contract	SubClass Of
▶	ecds:Credit Default Swap Contract
▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶ ▶	
	Sub Class Of (Assertional)
▶ ● security: Security	Contracte: Swap Contract
comm: Contract_Term	and (contracts bas, Swap, Lea some cds: Contingent, Lea)
fcomm: Currency	and (contracts:has_owap_leg some cds:Fee_Payment_leg)
Form: Currency_Amount	
fcomm:Date_Adjustment_Scheme	
fcomm:Einancial_Date	Annotations: cds:Single_Name_Credit_Default_Swap_Contract
► ● fcomm:Financial Rate	Annotations 🕒
⊨ ● fcomm: Identifier	rdfs:label @X
e fcomm:Num_Repeats	Single-Name Credit Default Swap Contract
Fcomm: Party	
fcomm:PartyIdentifier	meta:definition [type: xsd:string]
fcomm:Period	The simplest - and most common - type of credit default swap is one where there is just one reference
Form: Repeating_Dates	entity. This is called a single-name credit default swap. The reference entity can be any borrower, but
formm: Schedule	most often one of a few hundred widely traded companies (corporate or financials) or a handful of
fromm: Schedule Frequency	governments (such as trade debt), but these contracts are not standard and are rarely seen in practice
► ● fcomm: Step	bon owings (such as trade debt), but these contracts are not standard and are rarely seen in practice.
	A single name credit default swap acts like an insurance contract against the default of a reference
Individuals by type Annotation property hierarchy Datatypes	entity. The buyer of protection (known in the contract as the 'fixed rate payer') makes periodic premium
Object property hierarchy Data property hierarchy	payments to the seller of protection (the 'floating rate payer').

FIBO Provisional Roadmap



Current Quality Measures for FIBO

- Conceptual Ontologies
 - Visual Modeling
 - Consensus input and extrinsic validation by business domain SMEs from the financial industry
 - Formal and rigorous standardization and review processes via OMG
- Operational Ontologies
 - Consensus input and extrinsic validation by technology and ontology SMEs from the financial and vendor communities
 - Validation of executable reference operational ontologies developed as prototypes of specific use cases

Intrinsic Quality Control Direction for FIBO Ontologies

- Intent is to analyze FIBO using intrinsic ontology evaluation tools depending upon availability:
 - OOPS! (OntOlogy Pitfall Scanner!) sample tested already
 - OntoQA
 - OQuaRE
 - OntoClean
 - Other tools as they emerge