

Communiqué: Reflections, Follow-up and Action Plans

Amanda Vizedom

Fabian Neuhaus

23 May 2013

Some Factors & Reflections (1)

- Longer Summit
 - More time to refine thinking and discuss issues
- Synthesis Sessions
 - Enabled focused in issues not well-aligned with tracks
 - Reflections part-way through helped generate ideas that were then discussed recurrently in future sessions and contributed to Communiqué points
 - Out of sync with Communiqué deadlines, given need for track champions to use this time for feedback on some Communiqué input.
- Choice & evolution of track topics
 - Presentations weakly on focused, in relation
 - Major issues not much addressed outside of synthesis sessions; not clearly in any track's responsibility
 - Life cycle, evaluation methods & issues crossing, or outside of, track/topic divide

Factors & Reflections (2)

- Communiqué Draft Review Sessions
 - Got more people reading and commenting
 - Only effective if draft available & announced in time for folks to read it before session (& they actually do)
- Reach-out to advisors for Communiqué feedback
 - Incredibly valuable to have feedback from knowledgeable folks who have not been engaged in full summit activities
 - Revealed major issues and opportunities to make communiqué better
 - Happened right before final input deadline. When we should have been finalizing, we instead started near-total overhaul
 - Recommendation: Do this in future; it is a great way for advisors to meaningfully advise. But do it at beginning of communiqué draft process.

Factors & Reflections (3)

- Narrower area of coverage than for Summit
 - Much stronger focus, more useful document
 - Conflicts over what to include; more work
 - Not always reflected in track champion contributions (because first time?)

- Focus on communicating message within that area, where believed to have most potential to advance field
 - Conflicts over what to include; more work
 - Not always reflected in track champion contributions (because first time?)

Factors & Reflections (4)

- Excluded summary of summit happenings, to strengthen message
 - More useful document
 - Mixed consequences for level of effort
 - Eliminated assembly of summary of events
 - Replaced with harder work to generate focused, readable document with clear and relevant message
- Excluded temptation to treat as research paper
 - Better document; more true to content of summit
- Use of Google Docs for draft review: double-edged
 - Commenting easier; more comments received
 - Suggestions not easier; far fewer constructive suggestions received than comments

Follow up and Action Plans

- To be submitted for publication in *Applied Ontology*
- Lightning Talk proposed for SemTechBizSF
 - (post-deadline but possible chance if cancellations)
- Open for endorsements until June 3rd
 - (possible extension if lightning talk accepted?)
- Extensible references: Zotero subcollections open for addition of relevant material
 - Including adding session materials and references already in the library to specific endnote-related collections