

Ontology Summit 2014 Session 6

Synthesis I:

Approach to the Ontology Summit
2014 Communique and Proposed

Draft Outline

Thursday, February 20, 2014

General Chairs:

Michael Gruninger (U. Toronto)

Leo Obrst (MITRE)

Draft Communique: Overall Structure & Questions

- We will generally follow the Track structure
- Summit Premise:
 - The Semantic Web and Big Data communities can bring a wide array of real problems and technologies (e.g. performance and scalability challenges, automated reasoning tools), while the Applied Ontology can bring a large body of content (i.e. ontologies) and ontological analysis techniques
- Each section will end with a set of research questions/problems which can serve to guide and direct future work. Examples include:
 - What are the obstacles to closer collaboration among the Semantic Web, Big Data, and Applied Ontology communities?
 - What ontologies are required by Semantic Web applications?
 - If these ontologies are not available in any current ontology repository, how can we engage the applied ontology community to develop them?
 - Can we apply existing analysis techniques to ontologies that are being widely used within the Semantic Web community?
 - What language expressiveness is required by the ontologies that are being developed by the applied ontology community?
 - What role is played by decidability and tractability in applications of ontologies?
 - How can Big Data leverage existing ontologies?
 - What requirements do problems encountered with Big Data impose on the design of ontologies?

Draft Communique: Brief Outline

1. Introduction
 2. Challenges
 3. Sharable Reusable Content
 4. Tools and Techniques for Ontologies on the Web
 5. Bottlenecks in Ontology Engineering
 6. Variety in Big Data, Linked Data, and Linked Open Data
 7. Recommendations
- References (a small number)
- Endorsement

Draft Communique: More Detailed Outline (1)

1. Introduction
2. Challenges
3. (Track A) Sharable Reusable Content
 - A. Goals
 - B. Reuse
 - C. Conditions for Reuse
 - D. Ontology Design Patterns May be Useful
 - E. Big Data Landscape
 - F. Big Data Vocabularies Need Semantics
 - G. Reasoning is a Research Question?
 - H. Tooling
 - I. Best Practices

Draft Communique: More Detailed Outline (2)

4. (Track B) Tools and Techniques for Ontologies on the Web

A. Opportunities and Challenges

B. Services:

1. Increase pool of knowledge by tapping into the whole Web
2. Example of Watson: search heterogeneous knowledge sources for evidence, hybrid/heterogeneous reasoning, generation of hypotheses, evidence retrieval (disambiguation) and scoring (machine learning, statistical models)

C. Techniques

D. Tools

E. Issues:

- A. Variety, heterogeneity of information types, schemas, software, etc., and hybrid approaches
- B. Ontologies for annotating Big Data or representing it?
- C. Creation of ontologies from data: mining, machine-learning
- D. Relations among formalisms not well understood: RDF/S, OWL, SPARQL, UML, Rules, First-Order Logic languages (e.g., Common Logic)
- E. Tool limitations
- F. Requirements: demonstration, human factors, exemplars

Draft Communique: More Detailed Outline (3)

5. (Track C) Bottlenecks in Ontology Engineering

A. Bottlenecks

1. Ontology engineering processes that are time consuming
2. Social, cultural, and motivational issues
3. Modeling axioms or knowledge representation language fragments that cause difficulties in terms of an increase in reasoning complexity or reducing the reuseability of ontologies
4. Identifying areas and applications that would most directly benefit from ontologies but have not yet considered their use and development

B. Potential Solutions

C. Important Questions

D. Important Findings

1. Behavioral Abstraction
2. Entity-centric, frame-oriented data science
3. Improved data-driven techniques to scale the development of patterns and ontologies
4. KR choices have consequences for reasoning complexity, tool support, reusability
5. Unlimited patterns: discovered, not invented; bottom-up ontology development
6. Education & Buy-In: time, utility, ease of availability and use; support the requirements

Draft Communique: More Detailed Outline (4)

6. (Track D) Variety in Big Data, Linked Data, and Linked Open Data
 - A. Introduction to Variety in Big Data, Linked Data, and Linked Open Data
 - B. Example Use Cases:
 1. Industrial Use of Ontologies for Big Data: OODA
 2. Using ontologies to Manage Biodiversity Data
 3. Using Ontologies to Manage Data About Ice
 - C. Issues:
 1. Ontology Reuse
 2. Automated Ontology Gap-Filling (Gaps in Ontologies)
 3. Evolution: Dynamic Ontologies and Adaptation
 4. Crowdsourcing Curation
 5. Building Ontologies from Small Modules
 6. Working with Existing Datatypes
 7. Employing Multiple Languages
 8. Data/Metadata Annotation and Semantic Tagging
 9. Ontology Mapping
 10. Adaptation to Existing Workflows of Domain Experts
 11. Machine-learning Algorithms
 12. Tool Incompatibility

Draft Communique: More Detailed Outline (5)

7. Recommendations

References (a small number)

Endorsement

Emerging Common Themes & Issues

1. Ontology Reuse
2. Automated Ontology Gap-Filling (Gaps in Ontologies)
3. Evolution: Dynamic Ontologies and Adaptation
4. Crowdsourcing Curation
5. Building Ontologies from Small Modules
6. Working with Existing Datatypes
7. Employing Multiple Languages
8. Data/Metadata Annotation and Semantic Tagging
9. Ontology Mapping
10. Adaptation to Existing Workflows of Domain Experts
11. Machine-learning Algorithms
12. Tool Incompatibility
13. Ontology Design Patterns
14. Large-scale Reasoning
15. Time-consuming KR Processes
16. Education & Buy-in
17. Variety, Heterogeneity, and Hybrid Methods