ONTOLOGY BASED INFORMATION CENTRIC TACTICAL EDGE NETWORKING

Thomas A. Wambold Christopher T. Cannon Joseph B. Kopena[†] Duc Nguyen Marcello Balduccini

Emily C. LeBlanc William C. Regli

[†]tjkopena@bellerophonmobile.com

February 12, 2015





INTRODUCTION

Bellerophon Mobile:

Research and development in computer networking and information management for mobile & extreme environments

Startup out of the Applied Informatics Group at Drexel U Extensive history with NSF and DoD applied research

 Over 10 years' experience in networking and AI projects for DARPA, CERDEC, ONR, NRL, DISA

Four core competency areas

- Information Management: Knowledge representation, automated reasoning, and the Semantic Web for content curation & discovery
- Mobile Networking: Ad hoc, content-based, and delay tolerant protocols at network, transport, and application layers
- Network Testing and Evalution: Experimentation on mobile networks in emulation, simulation, and live testing
- Mobile App Development: Android and HTML5 apps, including consumer facing products for Fortune 500 companies





CBMEN THOR



Reporting general experiences from just-concluded DARPA Content-Based Mobile Edge Networking (CBMEN) project

Drexel & Bellerophon responsible for content naming, search, discovery: THOR: Tactical Heterogeneous Ontology Representation



TACTICAL EDGE NETWORKS





Battlefield networks are already prevalent and yet severely limited

- Semi-autonomous groups of mobile users, constrained backbone links
- Severe latency, disconnection, disruption are intrinsic domain features
- Wide variety of devices, networks, apps, data, policies
- Lots of digital data already being generated
- Mostly exchanged only between missions and to/from command

CHANGING CHALLENGES





Historically the dominant issues were impoverished nodes and links

Real issues right now are arguably disconnectivity and network scale

- Nodes have significant memory and processing resources
- Network shape is complex, can have substantial link bandwidth
 - ► High capacity at command & in edge groups, limited capacity between
- Link disruption and network partitioning are pervasive though
- Scaling from handfuls of nodes to units to battlefield is a challenge

UPCOMING PROBLEMS

Maturing and improving networks will raise challenges new to this domain

Near-future critical issue is information management

- Better systems will result in network and users deluged with data
 - ► Have to make good decisions about routing and presenting content
 - ► Have to discover relevant content, not just return specific search results

INFORMATION CENTRIC NETWORKING

CBMEN addresses disconnects and limited

- bandwidth via information centric networking
 - Content itself is the primary network addressable element
 - ► Not node endpoints, e.g., servers/clients
 - Essentially every node acts as a cache and may provide requested content

THOR enhances this and prepares for information management challenge by addressing and finding content via expresive, ontology-based metadata

 Applies Semantic Web technologies to tactical edge networking

Expressive pub/sub & universal caching enable efficiency, robustness

An application produces a message reporting observed activity

Local peer node has a matching, long-lived interest and receives report

Remote node also has interest, but reachback links constrained

- Detailed matching of content eliminates unnecessary transfers
- "All SpotReport messages of activity A in region (L,T)-(R,B)."

Later ad hoc query resolved with cached content, obviating transfers

Expressive pub/sub & universal caching enable efficiency, robustness

An application produces a message reporting observed activity

Local peer node has a matching, long-lived interest and receives report

Remote node also has interest, but reachback links constrained

- Detailed matching of content eliminates unnecessary transfers
- "All SpotReport messages of activity A in region (L,T)–(R,B)."

Later ad hoc query resolved with cached content, obviating transfers

Expressive pub/sub & universal caching enable efficiency, robustness

An application produces a message reporting observed activity

- Local peer node has a matching, long-lived interest and receives report
- Remote node also has interest, but reachback links constrained
 - Detailed matching of content eliminates unnecessary transfers
 - ► "All SpotReport messages of activity A in region (L,T)–(R,B)."

Later ad hoc query resolved with cached content, obviating transfers

Expressive pub/sub & universal caching enable efficiency, robustness

An application produces a message reporting observed activity

- Local peer node has a matching, long-lived interest and receives report
- Remote node also has interest, but reachback links constrained
 - Detailed matching of content eliminates unnecessary transfers
 - ► "All SpotReport messages of activity A in region (L,T)–(R,B)."
- Later ad hoc query resolved with cached content, obviating transfers

METADATA LANGUAGES

CBMEN content is described in RDF

- Generated by applications directly, or through shared Autogen user interface
- Generally one piece of metadata per piece of content, but it's a true generic knowledge base and structures can be built connecting content or completely independent data

Searches and proactive subscriptions are specified as SPARQL queries

OWL-Lite ontologies define a few standard structures and substantial taxonomies for the domain that are applied in matching

```
<urn:registrar:mc#c5cb82...a2aa>
    a messages:SpotReport;
    messages:contentType [ a provenance:ImageEntity ];
    messages:contentFormat [ a messages:JPG ];
    c?:latitude "28 16E048" .
```

c2:latitude "38.165048" ; c2:longitude "-77.284355" ;

rdfs:comment "From Olivia" .

Metadata Inference

Semantic Web metadata supports both specificity and generalization

RDF metadata enables complex modeling of content descriptions and queries

- Georeferencing, units, roles, content summarization tags, etc.
- Impractical with flat or hierarchical labeling

OWL-Lite enables just enough inference

- Apply implicit knowledge from background ontologies (echelons, etc.)
- Derive implications and connections from context (task org, roles, etc.)

Markup

Current demo apps generate metadata using THOR's Autogen component

Autogen: An Android activity any app can invoke to construct RDF

- Caller specifies a base class and/or partially populated structure
- Interface queries ontologies for subclasses and fields, populating the interface and presenting autocomplete options as user types
- Literal data fields may invoke other activities for input
 - ► Contacts for person, GPS or map for coordinate, calendar for date, etc.

P.		24 📱 4:54		
	AutoGen			
REPORT IS A:				
PROPERTIES:				
	Report is a:			
	spt			
	SPOT Report um:c2:SPOTReport			
	Position Report um:c2:PositionReport			
	Report um:c2:Report			
	Author			

	24 📱 4:54
ion AutoGen	ľ
REPORT IS A:	
SPOT Report um:c2:SPOTReport PROPERTIES:	
author Tap to select con um:c2:Author	tact
location Tap to set curre	nt
timestamp um:c2:Timestamp	

	21 🚺 4:56
ion AutoGen	1
REPORT IS A:	
SPOT Report um:c2:SPOTReport PROPERTIES:	
author Joe Kopena um:c2:Author	
location Tap to set curre	nt
timestamp um:c2:Timestamp	

ONTOLOGY

The THOR ontology constructed by distilling doctrine and canonical apps

Several core structures and extensive taxonomies, organized into modules

- Structures: Messages, force organization, missions, provenance, etc.
- Taxonomies: Nations, unit types, equipment, observations, tasks, etc.
 End product is ~3700 classes, ~100 properties

Reasoning

- Search and subscriptions are executed on all nodes by Masterchief
 - Mobile-ready knowledge base built for CBMEN
 - Semantic Web logic implemented over SQLite for robustness; portability; and limited, constant-size primary memory utilization
 - Transformations, management, and interface in C & Go for portability

LESSONS

- A little semantics goes a long way
 - Potential stakeholders primarily interested in basic taxonomies

Fairly difficult to get developers without KR experience up to speed

 Project apps didn't get to point of utilizing capabilities for collaboration, versioning, etc., offered by the underlying model

Evaluation of KR systems is extremely difficult

- Performance is non-trivial but fairly straightforward
 - ► Sidenote: What's hard for network may not be hard for KR, & vice versa
- But testing actual effectiveness and value requires complex yet realistic scenarios, revolves around metrics that are difficult to quantify

SPARQL and RDF model aren't quite the right tools for this task

■ SPARQL great for querying the KB, less ideal for fetching objects

Apps want all the metadata about content, resulting in massive queries
 RDF+SPARQL cumbersome when working with dynamic data

► E.g., "All reports within 3 miles of my current position."